

County-Level Bail Conditions in North Carolina

Jessica Smith, W.R. Kenan Jr. Distinguished Professor, UNC School of Government & Director, Criminal Justice Innovation Lab

November 2019

I previously wrote (here) about the role of money bail in North Carolina and presented 2018 county-level data on the types of pretrial conditions imposed for misdemeanors. I got so many questions about that data that we have produced it in a more comprehensive and user friendly form. Our new downloadable Excel file (here) contains two tabs, one for highest charge misdemeanor cases and one for highest charge felony cases. As with our previous report, this one presents county-level data from the NC AOC system for last condition imposed. In the default view, state level data is presented at the top of the spreadsheet with county-level data below, and with counties arrayed in alphabetical order. But because it is a downloadable file, users can manipulate the spreadsheet to show, for example, counties arrayed by highest percentage of secured bonds in misdemeanor cases (Figure 1 below) or lowest percentage of secured bonds in those cases (Figure 2 below). The statewide average for secured bonds in misdemeanor cases is 67.6%, but the range is significant, from a low of imposition in 32.9% of cases (Gates) to imposition in 87.6% of cases (Franklin). It also is noteworthy that in 2019 only five counties (Gates, Mecklenburg, Tyrrell, Camden and Hyde) imposed secured bonds in less than ½ of highest charge misdemeanor cases.

The new spreadsheet also includes data on felony cases. Statewide in 2018, secured bonds were imposed in 79.5% of those cases. But again the range is significant, from imposition in 49.3% of cases (Gates) to imposition in 95.4% of cases (Watauga). In a separate report I will present 2019 data to date; stay tuned, there are some interesting developments.

For more information about bail in North Carolina, please visit our web page here.

Figure 1. Conditions of release, highest charge misdemeanor, highest percentages of secured bonds

	CONDITIONS OF RELEASE REPORT, HIGHEST CHARGE MISDEMEANOR (Statewide; January 1, 2018 - December 31, 2018), UNC Criminal Justice Innovation Lab 2019																
STATEWIDE	146,162	67.6%	145,862	67.4%	300	0.1%	61,381	28.4%	2,819	1.3%	43,540	20.1%	15,022	6.9%	8,803	4.1%	216,346
COUNTY	Total Financial Count	Total Financial %	Secured Bond Count	Secured Bond %	EHA with Secured Bond Count	EHA with Secured Bond %	Total Nonfinancial Count	Total Nonfinancial %	Custody Release Count	Custody Release %	Unsecured Bond Count	Unsecured Bond %	Written Promise to Appear Count	Written Promise to Appear %	Issued as Not Authorized Count	Issued as Not Authorized %	Total
FRANKLIN	1,309	87.6%	1,309	87.6%		0.0%	168	11.2%	7	0.5%	58	3.9%	103	6.9%	17	1.1%	1,494
ALAMANCE	3,027	85.1%	3,027	85.1%	-	0.0%	431	12.1%	17	0.5%	254	7.1%	160	4.5%	99	2.8%	3,557
DARE	745	84.9%	745	84.9%	-	0.0%	62	7.1%	1	0.1%	53	6.0%	8	0.9%	71	8.1%	878
PITT	3,179	84.4%	3,072	81.6%	107	2.8%	506	13.4%	16	0.4%	427	11.3%	63	1.7%	81	2.2%	3,766
HENDERSON	2,820	83.8%	2,820	83.8%		0.0%	378	11.2%	36	1.1%	204	6.1%	138	4.1%	167	5.0%	3,365
WAKE	10,525	83.6%	10,400	82.6%	125	1.0%	1,986	15.8%	149	1.2%	1,344	10.7%	493	3.9%	74	0.6%	12,585
BERTIE	318	82.8%	318	82.8%	-	0.0%	47	12.2%	2	0.5%	39	10.2%	6	1.6%	19	4.9%	384
MCDOWELL	902	82.3%	902	82.3%	-	0.0%	142	13.0%	5	0.5%	30	2.7%	107	9.8%	52	4.7%	1,096
WARREN	237	82.0%	237	82.0%		0.0%	49	17.0%	2	0.7%	36	12.5%	11	3.8%	3	1.0%	289
BRUNSWICK	1,543	81.1%	1,541	81.0%	2	0.1%	336	17.7%	12	0.6%	312	16.4%	12	0.6%	23	1.2%	1,902
IREDELL	2,341	80.6%	2,341	80.6%	-	0.0%	510	17.6%	37	1.3%	446	15.4%	27	0.9%	53	1.8%	2,904
VANCE	1,051	80.1% 79.6%	1,051	80.1% 79.6%	-	0.0%	240 268	18.3%	24 19	1.8%	164 232	12.5% 14.4%	52 17	4.0%	21	1.6%	1,312
	1,280 242		1,280		- :				. 19	0.0%	14	4.6%	2	0.7%			1,608 305
GREENE	2,170	79.3% 78.8%	2,170	79.3% 78.8%	-	0.0%	16 522	5.2% 19.0%	30	1.1%	319	11.6%	173	6.3%	47 61	15.4%	2.753
ASHE	525	78.7%	525	78.7%	-	0.0%	131	19.6%	30	0.3%	90	13.5%	39	5.8%	11	1.6%	667
LEE	946	78.7%	946	78.7%	- :	0.0%	238	19.8%	6	0.5%	230	19.1%	39	0.2%	18	1.5%	1,202
ROWAN	2,111	78.6%	2,111	78.6%		0.0%	426	15.9%	17	0.5%	61	2.3%	348	13.0%	150	5.6%	2,687
WATAUGA	689	78.1%	689	78.1%		0.0%	159	18.0%	23	2.6%	16	1.8%	120	13.6%	34	3.9%	882
LINCOLN	1.358	78.1%	1.358	78.1%		0.0%	350	20.1%	11	0.6%	335	19.3%	4	0.2%	31	1.8%	1.739
NORTHAMPTON	407	78.0%	407	78.0%		0.0%	78	14.9%		0.0%	77	14.8%	1	0.2%	37	7.1%	522
CRAVEN	1,512	77.8%	1,510	77.7%	2	0.1%	390	20.1%	57	2.9%	68	3.5%	265	13.6%	41	2.1%	1,943
FORSYTH	6.150	77.5%	6,150	77.5%		0.0%	1.577	19.9%	70	0.9%	614	7.7%	893	11.3%	210	2.6%	7.937
HERTFORD	494	77.3%	494	77.3%	-	0.0%	88	13.8%	2	0.3%	86	13.5%		0.0%	57	8.9%	639
CABARRUS	2,474	76.5%	2,474	76.5%	-	0.0%	409	12.6%	3	0.1%	72	2.2%	334	10.3%	351	10.9%	3,234
BURKE	2,045	76.4%	2,045	76.4%	-	0.0%	339	12.7%	68	2.5%	35	1.3%	236	8.8%	291	10.9%	2,675
CLEVELAND	1,892	76.1%	1,892	76.1%	-	0.0%	538	21.6%	5	0.2%	518	20.8%	15	0.6%	57	2.3%	2,487
JOHNSTON	2,797	76.0%	2,796	76.0%	1	0.0%	800	21.7%	46	1.3%	744	20.2%	10	0.3%	82	2.2%	3,679

Figure 2. Conditions of release, highest charge misdemeanor, lowest percentages of secured bonds

															ovation Lab 2019		
STATEWIDE	146,162	67.6%	145,862	67.4%	300	0.1%	61,381	28.4%	2,819	1.3%	43,540	20.1%	15,022	6.9%	8,803	4.1%	216,346
COUNTY	Total Financial Count	Total Financial %	Secured Bond Count	Secured Bond %	EHA with Secured Bond Count	EHA with Secured Bond %	Total Nonfinancial Count	Total Nonfinancial %	Custody Release Count	Custody Release %	Unsecured Bond Count	Unsecured Bond %	Written Promise to Appear Count	Written Promise to Appear %	Issued as Not Authorized Count	Issued as Not Authorized %	
GATES	51	32.9%	51	32.9%	-	0.0%	100	64.5%	-	0.0%	100	64.5%	-	0.0%	4	2.6%	155
MECKLENBURG	10,743	41.8%	10,743	41.8%	-	0.0%	14,245	55.5%	630	2.5%	12,080	47.0%	1,535	6.0%	691	2.7%	25,679
TYRRELL	41	44.6%	41	44.6%	-	0.0%	46	50.0%	-	0.0%	27	29.3%	19	20.7%	5	5.4%	92
CAMDEN	38	44.7%	38	44.7%	-	0.0%	46	54.1%	-	0.0%	45	52.9%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	85
HYDE	39	47.0%	39	47.0%	-	0.0%	40	48.2%	-	0.0%	40	48.2%	-	0.0%	4	4.8%	83
CHEROKEE	527	51.8%	527	51.8%	-	0.0%	484	47.5%	8	0.8%	433	42.5%	43	4.2%	7	0.7%	1,018
HAYWOOD	1,141	53.9%	1,141	53.9%	-	0.0%	965	45.6%	41	1.9%	519	24.5%	405	19.1%	10	0.5%	2,116
JACKSON	580	54.1%	580	54.1%	-	0.0%	462	43.1%	1	0.1%	378	35.2%	83	7.7%	31	2.9%	1,073
BUNCOMBE	4,307	55.2%	4,307	55.2%	-	0.0%	3,481	44.6%	30	0.4%	2,072	26.6%	1,379	17.7%	9	0.1%	7,797
DAVIE	408	55.7%	408	55.7%	-	0.0%	321	43.9%	5	0.7%	315	43.0%	1	0.1%	3	0.4%	732
TRANSYLVANIA	614	56.0%	614	56.0%	-	0.0%	480	43.8%	26	2.4%	338	30.8%	116	10.6%	2	0.2%	1,096
ANSON	530	56.7%	530	56.7%	-	0.0%	243	26.0%	4	0.4%	172	18.4%	67	7.2%	162	17.3%	935
CLAY	101	57.7%	101	57.7%	-	0.0%	74	42.3%	-	0.0%	72	41.1%	2	1.1%	-	0.0%	175
AVERY	205	58.4%	205	58.4%	-	0.0%	130	37.0%	12	3.4%	9	2.6%	109	31.1%	16	4.6%	351
SCOTLAND	748	58.8%	748	58.8%	-	0.0%	312	24.5%	13	1.0%	191	15.0%	108	8.5%	212	16.7%	1,272
CASWELL	173	59.9%	173	59.9%	-	0.0%	113	39.1%	2	0.7%	34	11.8%	77	26.6%	3	1.0%	289
DURHAM	2,727	61.7%	2,726	61.7%	1	0.0%	1,507	34.1%	36	0.8%	1,079	24.4%	392	8.9%	187	4.2%	4,421
EDGECOMBE	1,005	61.7%	1,005	61.7%	-	0.0%	563	34.6%	17	1.0%	421	25.9%	125	7.7%	60	3.7%	1,628
BEAUFORT	533	62.1%	533	62.1%	-	0.0%	191	22.3%	6	0.7%	185	21.6%	-	0.0%	134	15.6%	858
CHATHAM	507	62.6%	506	62.5%	1	0.1%	292	36.0%	6	0.7%	85	10.5%	201	24.8%	11	1.4%	810
RICHMOND	979	62.8%	979	62.8%	-	0.0%	268	17.2%	68	4.4%	99	6.4%	101	6.5%	312	20.0%	1,559
PERSON	504	62.8%	504	62.8%	-	0.0%	223	27.8%	9	1.1%	120	15.0%	94	11.7%	75	9.4%	802
STOKES	668	62.9%	668	62.9%	-	0.0%	289	27.2%	28	2.6%	216	20.3%	45	4.2%	105	9.9%	1,062
GUILFORD	8,047	63.2%	8,043	63.2%	4	0.0%	4,506	35.4%	234	1.8%	1,847	14.5%	2,425	19.0%	182	1.4%	12,735
CUMBERLAND	4,477	63.2%	4,477	63.2%	-	0.0%	2,451	34.6%	30	0.4%	2,384	33.7%	37	0.5%	154	2.2%	7,082
WAYNE	1,899	63.2%	1,899	63.2%	-	0.0%	1,063	35.4%	21	0.7%	812	27.0%	230	7.7%	41	1.4%	3,003
YANCEY	148	63.5%	148	63.5%	-	0.0%	80	34.3%	1	0.4%	79	33.9%	-	0.0%	5	2.1%	233
ORANGE	1,111	63.6%	1,111	63.6%	-	0.0%	614	35.1%	3	0.2%	306	17.5%	305	17.4%	23	1.3%	1,748

© 2019. School of Government. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Use of this publication for commercial purposes or without acknowledgment of its source is prohibited. Reproducing, distributing, or otherwise making available to a non-purchaser the entire publication, or a substantial portion of it, without express permission, is prohibited. For permissions questions or requests, email the School of Government at copyright_permissions@sog.unc.edu.